
# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT - 355 FRANKTOWN ROAD



Project No.: CCO-22-0402

Prepared for:

11309455 Canada Inc 190 Lisgar St, Ottawa, ON L2P 0C4

# Prepared by:

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. 115 Walgreen Road Carp, ON KOA 1L0

July 15, 2022

Rev 01: September 1, 2023

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
1.1	Purpose	
1.2	Site Description	Î
2.0	BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS	1
3.0	WATERMAIN	2
3.1	Existing Watermain	2
3	.1.1 Franktown Road	2
3	.1.2 Coleman Central Subdivision	2
3.2	Proposed Watermain	2
3.3	Hydraulic Water Model Results	3
4.0	SANITARY DESIGN	5
4.1	Existing Sanitary Sewer	5
4.2	Proposed Sanitary Sewer	5
5.0	STORM DESIGN	6
5.1	Existing Storm Sewer	6
5.2	Proposed Storm Sewer	6
6.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	7
6.1	Design Criteria and Methodology	
6.2	Runoff Calculations	
6.3	Pre-Development Drainage	8
6.4	Post-Development Drainage	8
6.5	Quantity Control	9
6.6	Quality Control	10
7.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	10
7.1	Temporary Measures	10
7.2	Permanent Measures	1
8.0	SUMMARY	12
9.0	RECOMMENDATION	13
10.0	STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS	14

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Water Supply Design Criteria and Water Demands	3
Table 2: Water Pressure at Junctions per Scenario	4
Table 3: Fire Protection Confirmation	5
Table 4: Sanitary Design Criteria	5
Table 5: Summary of Estimated Sanitary Flow	6
Table 6: Pre- Development Runoff Summary	8
Table 7: Post Development Flow Rate	8
Table 8: Allowable Release Rate Summary	9
Table 9: Post-Development Restricted Runoff Summary	9
Table 10: Storage Summary	.10

# **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Site Location Plan

Appendix B: Background Documents

Appendix C: Watermain Calculations

Appendix D: Sanitary Calculations

Appendix E: Pre-Development Drainage Plan

Appendix F: Post-Development Drainage Plan

Appendix G: Stormwater Management Calculations

# 1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

# 1.1 Purpose

McIntosh Perry (MP) has been retained by the 11309455 Canada Inc to prepare this Servicing and Stormwater Management Report in support of the site plan approval for the proposed development at 355 Franktown Road within the Town of Carleton Place.

The main purpose of this report is to demonstrate that the proposed development has access to sufficient public services in accordance with the recommendations and guidelines provided by the Town of Carleton Place (Town), the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). This report will address access to water, sanitary and storm servicing for the development, ensuring that existing services will adequately service the proposed development.

# 1.2 Site Description

The property is located at 355 Franktown Road in the Town of Carleton Place. The site, which is not considered to include the commercial plaza, covers approximately 1.34 ha and is located between the proposed second phase of Coleman Street Subdivision and Franktown Road.

The existing site is currently undeveloped, consisting of wooded and grassed areas. Adjacent lots to the north and south are also undeveloped. Coleman Street Subdivision Phase 2 flanks the eastern portion of the property and existing commercial and residential developments along Franktown Road are located to the west.

The development proposes two 4-Storey condominium buildings on the on the western portion of the property and six townhouses on the eastern portion of the property. The condominium buildings will be separated from the townhouse blocks by a public ROW. The future ROW will connect the proposed development to the lands to the north and eventually to the Coleman subdivision via the lands to the south.

# 2.0 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background documents available under separate cover include:

- JLR Watermain Capacity Future Development\_Final (Dated September 16, 2013 completed by J.L. Richards & Associates Ltd.)
- Functional Servicing Report 347 Franktown Road (Dated August 13, 2021 completed by Mcintosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.)
- Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 347 Franktown Road (Date June 22, 2022 completed by Mcintosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.)
- Servicing and Stormwater Management Report Coleman Central Subdivision Phase 2 (Dated May 31, 2023) Note: This subdivision is currently ongoing approvals. Servicing and references will be updated to reflect the approved documents when complete.

### 3.0 WATERMAIN

### 3.1 Existing Watermain

The following subsections outline the existing water infrastructure within Franktown Road and the proposed infrastructure within Coleman Street Subdivision Phase 2.

### 3.1.1 Franktown Road

There is an existing 300 mm diameter watermain that runs north along Franktown Road, ending in a stub located at Findlay Avenue. Just before the stub there is a hydrant that services the existing commercial development adjacent to the subject site.

### 3.1.2 Coleman Central Subdivision

Although not yet constructed, the infrastructure within the proposed Coleman Central Subdivision Phase 2 is anticipated to be constructed prior to the proposed construction of the subject property. There is a proposed 200 mm diameter watermain that services the subdivision. The design of the Coleman Street Subdivision Phase 2 has taken the future development into account with stubs extending westward from the subdivision located both northeast and southeast of the subject site.

### 3.2 Proposed Watermain

The existing 200 mm watermain within Coleman Central Subdivision Phase 2 will be extended along the future municipal road. In accordance with the Watermain Capacity – Future Development provided by the Town of Carleton Place, a new 200mm watermain is proposed to connect the extended main within the future municipal ROW. A 150mm PVC water lateral will extend from the proposed 200mm watermain to service the condo buildings, as shown on drawing C102. The townhouse block will be serviced via 19mm copper 'k' type laterals extending from the 200mm watermain within the future municipal road. A new service will be extended to the existing mall from the proposed 200mm watermain within the site.

The Fire Underwriters Survey 2020 (FUS) method was utilized to determine the required fire flow for the proposed Phase 1 development. All buildings in the development were evaluated for the worst-case fire flow scenario. It was determined that the eastern condo building is the worst case. Detailed water and fire calculations for the development can be found in Appendix 'C' of this report.

The 'C' factor (type of construction) for the FUS calculation was determined to be 1 (ordinary construction). The total floor area ('A' value) for the FUS calculation was determined to be 4140.0 m<sup>2</sup>. The results of the calculations yielded a required fire flow of 9,000 L/min. The detailed calculations for the FUS can be found in Appendix 'C'.

The water demands for the proposed building have been calculated to adhere to the *Ottawa Design Guidelines* – *Water Distribution* manual and can be found in Appendix 'C'. *Table 1*, below, summarizes the design criteria and calculated demands.

Table 1: Water Supply Design Criteria and Water Demands

Water Demand Rate (Commercial)	28,000 L/gross ha/d
Average Day Demand (L/s)	0.24
Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)	0.35
Peak Hourly Demand (L/s)	0.64
Water Demand Rate (Residential)	280 L/c/day
Average Apartment	1.8 Persons/unit
Townhouse	2.7 Persons/unit
Residential Peaking Factor (Day)	4.9 x avg. day
Residential Peaking Factor (Hour)	7.4 x max. day
Site Area (ha)	1.34
Average Day Demand (L/s)	0.86
Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)	3.42
Peak Hourly Demand (L/s)	5.27
FUS Fire Flow Requirement (L/s)	150.00
Max Day + Fire Flow (L/s)	153.06

# 3.3 Hydraulic Water Model Results

With reference to the Watermain Capacity – Future Development Pg. 18, pressures under peak demand were analyzed and a hydraulic water model was completed using Bentley's WaterCAD modelling software based on those conditions. A total of three (3) scenarios were analyzed. The performance of the proposed water distribution system within the development was analyzed under each scenario. The following summarizes the modelling scenarios that were analyzed.

Scenario 1: Average Day Demands (w/ Maximum HGL)
Scenario 2: Peak Hour Demands (w/ Minimum HGL)

Scenario 3: Max Day Plus Fire Flow (w/ Reduced Minimum HGL)

The normal operating pressure range is anticipated to be 449 kPa to 462 kPa and will not be less than 275 kPa (40 psi) or exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). *Table 2*, below, summarizes the resultant water pressures at each junction per scenario.

Table 2: Water Pressure at Junctions per Scenario

Junction	Scenario 1: Average Day Demand (psi)	Scenario 2: Peak Hourly Demand (psi)	
J-2	67	67	
J-3	68	68	
J-4	68	67	
J-5	68	68	
J-6	68	67	
J-7	68	67	
J-8	68	68	
J-9	68	68	
J-10	68	68	
J-11	68	68	
J-12	68	68	
J-13	72	71	
J-14	68	67	
J-15	68	67	
J-16	67	66	
J-17	66	65	
J-18	64	63	
J-19	64	63	
J-20	65	64	

To analyze the maximum day demands plus fire flow scenario, the fire flow calculation tool in the water modelling software was used to run multiple iterations of the scenario while gradually increasing fire flows being applied to a single junction until the minimum pressure of 20 psi is reached at any point in the system. A summary of the maximum available fire flow results is provided in Appendix C.

The water model results determined that the proposed 200mm watermain can adequately provide enough fire flow to meet the required flow rate of 9,000 L/min (150 L/sec) at the location of the proposed hydrants H-4 and H-3 (junctions J-15 and J-16), with available fire flows ranging from 14,790 L/min to 13,819 L/min (246

L/sec to 230.3 L/sec) while maintaining a minimum residual pressure of 20 psi in the network. Refer to the Hydraulic Water Modelling results and figure C1 in Appendix C for more details.

To provide fire flow to the proposed internal fire suppression system, a private hydrant (H-4) within 45m of the siamese connection is proposed. A hydrant summary based on the water model can be seen in *Table 3*, below.

Table 3: Fire Protection Confirmation

Building	Fire Flow	Fire Hydrant	Fire Hydrant	Combined Fire
	Demand (L/min.)	H-3 (L/min.)	H-4 (L/min.)	Flow (L/min.)
355 Franktown Road	9,000	11,776	11,115	>9,000

### 4.0 SANITARY DESIGN

# 4.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer

Although not yet constructed, Coleman Street Subdivision Phase 2 has a proposed 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer with stubs located to the northeast and southeast of the subject site.

# 4.2 Proposed Sanitary Sewer

The 200 mm sanitary sewer stub within Coleman Street Subdivision is proposed to be extended along the future municipal road to service the subject property. A 200 mm sanitary sewer is proposed to be extended from the municipal road within the drive aisles bounding the condo buildings. The condo buildings will have shared servicing through a 200 mm sanitary service connection to the proposed 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer. The proposed sewer will also service the existing mall to the west. Each townhouse will be serviced by 135mm sanitary laterals extending from the 200mm sewer within the future municipal road. Refer to drawing C102.

The peak design flow was calculated for the proposed site using the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (SDG). Design criteria used in the sanitary demand calculation can be seen in *Table 4*, below.

Table 4: Sanitary Design Criteria

1-Bedroom Apartment	1.4 persons/unit
2-Bedroom Apartment	2.1 persons/unit
Townhouse	2.7 persons/unit
Average Daily Demand	280 L/day/person
Site Area (Condo Buildings & Townhouses)	1.34 ha
Residential Peaking Factor	3.52
Commercial	2,800 L/(1000m <sup>2</sup> /d)
Extraneous Flow Allowance	0.33 L/s/ha

*Table 5*, below, summarizes the estimated wastewater flow from the proposed development. Wastewater flows from the proposed Chadha development and from the existing commercial area are not included in this summary but have been accounted for in sanitary sizing and capacity. Detailed calculations for each contributing area can be found in Appendix 'D'.

Table 5: Summary of Estimated Sanitary Flow

Average Dry Weather Flow	0.96L/s
Peak Dry Weather Flow	2.66 L/s
Peak Wet Weather Flow	3.25 L/s

Based on the calculation provided in the Coleman Central Subdivision Phase 2 Servicing Report and the results shown in *Table 5*, above, it is anticipated that there will be no downstream capacity concerns. Flow from the subject site has been accounted for in the Coleman Central Subdivision design, refer to subdivision design documents for details.

Further downstream of Coleman Street Subdivision Phase 2 a sanitary sewer upgrade is to take place. Analysis of the upgrade has been completed as part of Coleman Central Subdivision Phase 2. Flows from the subject site were taken into consideration in the which a population of 192.6 people based on the unit count and an area of 0.73ha to account for the mall. The proposed development and existing mall within the site will generate flow rates of 2.65 L/s and 0.60 L/s, respectively. The adjacent Chadha development to the north of the site will generate a flow of 5.38 L/s. The total flow for the proposed development, existing mall and Chadha development is 8.42 L/s, which is 2.16 L/s greater than the 6.26 L/s previously considered for the site. Based on the capacity shown for the proposed sanitary sewer upgrade as detailed in the Coleman Central Subdivision documents, the additional 2.16 L/s of flow can be accommodated and therefore no capacity issues are anticipated given the upgrade is completed. Sanitary sizing calculations can be found in Appendix 'D'.

# 5.0 STORM DESIGN

# 5.1 Existing Storm Sewer

There is no existing storm infrastructure within the subject property. Stormwater runoff currently sheet drains to the southeast where it is collected by the existing creek. The existing mall adjacent to the site currently outlets to a storm water management area within the development. There is a 975mm concrete storm sewer to be extended from the Coleman Phase 2 subdivision specifically to provide an outlet for 347 and 355 Franktown developments. The 975mm sewer ultimately outlets to an existing ditch that has been realigned as part of the Coleman Central Subdivision Phase 2 development. Please refer to the subdivision documents for details.

# 5.2 Proposed Storm Sewer

The proposed development will be serviced by a new storm network extended from the future 975mm storm sewer within the future municipal road that will be extended from the existing storm sewer within Coleman

Central Subdivision Phase 2. A new outlet to the realigned ditch within the Coleman Central Subdivision Phase 2 pond block is proposed to accommodate flows from the proposed development. As part of the ditch realignment, flows from the subject site have been considered. As existing flows from the adjacent mall currently flow to the site, they will also be considered in the proposed storm water management network and restricted.

Runoff from the condo buildings, drive aisle, rear yard, existing mall and southern landscaped area will be captured and restricted.

Flow attenuation for the above-mentioned areas will be provided via a 180mm plug style orifice located on the upstream invert of the outlet pipe for the ponding area. Flows greater than the allowable release rate will be stored in a landscape area complete with a 2.00m weir at the southeast of the site.

Runoff from the townhouses and the proposed municipal road will sheet drain without attenuation to the future municipal Row.

### 6.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

## 6.1 Design Criteria and Methodology

Stormwater management for the proposed site will be maintained through attenuated surface storage provided in a landscape area the southeast of the site. Catch basins will be collect runoff from at-grade areas within the site. The quantitative and qualitative properties of the storm runoff for both the pre & post development flows are further detailed below. The post-development 5 and 100-year flows will be restricted to the pre-development 5 and 100-year flows.

### 6.2 Runoff Calculations

Runoff calculations presented in this report are derived using the Rational Method, given as:

$$O = 2.78CIA \text{ (L/s)}$$

Where C = Runoff coefficient

= Rainfall intensity in mm/hr (City of Ottawa IDF curves)

A = Drainage area in hectares

It is recognized that the Rational Method tends to overestimate runoff rates. As a result, the conservative calculation of runoff ensures that any stormwater management facility sized using this method is anticipated to function as intended.

The following coefficients were used to develop an average C for each area:

Roofs/Concrete/Asphalt	0.90
Gravel	0.60

Undevel	oped and Grass	0.20

As per the *City of Ottawa - Sewer Design Guidelines*, the 5-year balanced 'C' value must be increased by 25% for a 100-year storm event to a maximum of 1.0.

The time of concentration (Tc) used for pre-development and post-development shall be calculated using a Tc of 10 minutes.

# 6.3 Pre-Development Drainage

The existing site drainage limits are demonstrated on the Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan. A summary of the Pre-Development Runoff Calculations can be found in *Table 6, below.* Please note the SWM area and Site Area vary slightly as a portion of the townhouse block will be directed to Coleman Phase 2 and accounted for in their stormwater management calculations.

Table 6: Pre- Development Runoff Summary

Drainage Area	Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient (5-Year)	Runoff Coefficient (100-Year)	5-year Peak Flow (L/s)	100-year Peak Flow (L/s)
A1	1.33	0.20	0.25	77.11	165.18
A2	0.69	0.20	0.25	40.04	85.78
A3	4.47	0.20	0.25	259.20	555.25

See CCO-22-0402 - PRE in Appendix 'E' and Appendix 'G' for calculations.

# 6.4 Post-Development Drainage

The proposed site drainage limits are demonstrated on the Post-Development Drainage Area Plan. See CCO-22-0402 - *POST* in Appendix 'F' of this report for more details. A summary of the Post-Development Runoff Calculations can be found in *Table 7*, below.

Table 7: Post Development Flow Rate

Drainage Area	Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient (5-Year)	Runoff Coefficient (100-Year)	5-year Peak Flow (L/s)	100-year Peak Flow (L/s)
B1	0.28	0.47	0.54	37.77	74.32
B2	0.74	0.65	0.73	138.07	266.57
В3	0.69	0.83	0.93	167.10	319.07
B4	0.32	0.56	0.63	51.31	99.91
B5	4.47	0.20	0.25	259.20	555.25
Total	6.50			653.52	1315.12

See Appendix 'G' for calculations. Runoff for area B1-B3 will be restricted before draining to the sewer within the future municipal ROW. The flow will be controlled through the use of a 180mm plug style ICD. Runoff from areas B4 will leave the site unrestricted. Quantity and quality control will be further detailed in Sections 6.5 and 6.6.

# 6.5 Quantity Control

The total post-development runoff for this site has been restricted to match the 5-year and 100-year predevelopment flow rates calculated with a combined C value. (See Appendix 'B' for pre-consultation notes). Note that areas A3 and B5 are offsite and will outlet to the storm sewer within Lewis Street at full buildout conditions therefor these areas are not included in the site quantity calculations. These values create the following allowable release rate and storage volumes for the development site.

Table 8: Allowable Release Rate Summary

Drainage Area	Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient 5-Year	Runoff Coefficient 100-Year	Required Restricted Flow 5-Year (L/s)	Required Restricted Flow 100-Year (L/s)
A1	1.33	0.20	0.25	77.11	165.18
A2	0.69	0.20	0.25	40.04	85.78
Total	2.02			117.15	250.95

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

Reducing site flows will be achieved using a flow restriction and will create the need for onsite storage. Runoff from area B1 to B3 will be restricted as shown in *Table 9*, below.

Table 9: Post-Development Restricted Runoff Summary

Drainage Area		elopment d Flow (L/s)		elopment d Flow (L/s)	
71100	5-Year	100-Year	5-Year	100-Year	
B1	37.77	74.32			
B2	138.07	266.57	56.99	150.56	Restricted – ICD
В3	167.10	319.07			
B4	51.37	99.91	51.37	99.91	Unrestricted
Total	394.31	759.87	108.35	250.47	

See Appendix 'G' for calculations.

Runoff from areas B1 to B3 will be restricted using an ICD within HMH2. This will backup stormwater runoff from the site to a landscape area southeast of the site. The area will pond to elevations of 133.20 and 133.48 for the 5-year and 100-year storms, respectively. The landscape area will be complete with a 2.00m earth weir.

A storage summary can be seen in *Table 10*, below.

Table 10: Storage Summary

Drainage Area	Storage Required (m³)	Storage Available (m³)	Storage Required (m³)	Storage Available (m³)
	5-Year		100-Year	
B1				
B2	216.8	218.5	351.6	367.2
В3				

# 6.6 Quality Control

The development of this lot will employ Best Management Practices (BMP's) wherever possible. The intent of implementing stormwater BMP's is to ensure that water quality and quantity concerns are addressed at all stages of development. BMP's at this site will be implemented at the lot level. Lot level BMP's typically include temporary retention of the parking lot runoff, minimizing ground slopes and maximizing landscaped areas.

A quality treatment unit has been sized to provide a TSS removal rate of 80% as per the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) requirements. The Oil and Grit Separator (OGS) will provide a water quality of at least 80% TSS. The OGS Unit shall be placed downstream of the restriction unit to provide the required water quality treatment for the site runoff before discharging to the existing creek southeast of the site.

# 7.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

# 7.1 Temporary Measures

Before construction begins, temporary silt fence, straw bale or rock flow check dams will be installed at all natural runoff outlets from the property. It is crucial that these controls be maintained throughout construction and inspection of sediment and erosion control will be facilitated by the Contractor or Contract Administration staff throughout the construction period.

Silt fences will be installed where shown on the final engineering plans, specifically along the downstream property limits. The Contractor, at their discretion or at the instruction of the City, Conservation Authority or the Contract Administrator shall increase the quantity of sediment and erosion controls on-site to ensure that the site is operating as intended and no additional sediment finds its way off site. The rock flow, straw bale & silt fence check dams and barriers shall be inspected weekly and after rainfall events. Care shall be taken to properly remove sediment from the fences and check dams as required. Fibre roll barriers are to be installed at all existing curb inlet catchbasins and filter fabric is to be placed under the grates of all existing catchbasins

and manholes along the frontage of the site and any new structures immediately upon installation. The measures for the existing/proposed structures are to be removed only after all areas have been paved. Care shall be taken at the removal stage to ensure that any silt that has accumulated is properly handled and disposed of. Removal of silt fences without prior removal of the sediments shall not be permitted.

Although not anticipated, work through winter months shall be closely monitored for erosion along sloped areas. Should erosion be noted, the Contractor shall be alerted and shall take all necessary steps to rectify the situation. Should the Contractor's efforts fail at remediating the eroded areas, the Contractor shall contact the City and/or Conservation Authority to review the site conditions and determine the appropriate course of action. As the ground begins to thaw, the Contractor shall place silt fencing at all required locations as soon as ground conditions warrant. Please see the *Site Grading, Drainage and Sediment & Erosion Control Plan* for additional details regarding the temporary measures to be installed and their appropriate OPSD references.

### 7.2 Permanent Measures

It is expected that the Contractor will promptly ensure that all disturbed areas receive topsoil and seed/sod and that grass be established as soon as possible. Any areas of excess fill shall be removed or levelled as soon as possible and must be located a sufficient distance from any watercourse to ensure that no sediment is washed out into the watercourse. As the vegetation growth within the site provides a key component to the control of sediment for the site, it must be properly maintained once established. Once the construction is complete, it will be up to the landowner to maintain the vegetation and ensure that the vegetation is not overgrown or impeded by foreign objects.

### 8.0 SUMMARY

- Two new condominium buildings and a block of townhouses are proposed at 355 Franktown Road.
- A new 200mm water main will be extended from the proposed Phase 2 of Coleman Central Subdivision to Franktown Road.
- The FUS method estimated fire flow indicated 9,000 L/min is required for the proposed development.
- Based on boundary conditions provided by the Town, the proposed 200 mm watermain and two private hydrants in the vicinity of the development are capable of meeting daily and fire flow demands.
- A new 200mm sewer main will be installed and connected to the proposed stub at phase 2 of Coleman Central Subdivision
- The development is anticipated to have a peak wet weather flow of 2.93 L/s. A proposed 200 mm diameter sanitary main will collect and outlet flow to the proposed 200 mm diameter sanitary stub located within Phase 2 of the Coleman Central Subdivision. 135mm services will service the block of townhouses, extending from the Phase 2 Coleman sewer. Based on the sanitary analysis conducted in the Coleman Street Subdivision Phase 2 Servicing Report, the subdivisions sanitary network has sufficient capacity for the subject site's flow.
- A new storm system will be installed on-site to capture storm runoff and restrict flows to predevelopment rates. The new storm system will discharge future sewer located within Phase 2 of the Coleman Street Subdivision.
- Storage for the 5 and 100-year storm events will be provided via surface storage.

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information presented in this report, we recommend that Town of Carleton Place approve this Servicing and Stormwater Management Report in support of the proposed development at 355 Franktown Road.

This report is respectfully being submitted for approval.

Regards,

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.



Brent Cuming, P.Eng.
Manager, Land Development
T: 343.764.2012

E: b.cuming@mcintoshperry.com

Charissa Hampel, P.Eng. Project Engineer, Land Development

e. Hampel

T: 613.714.4625

E: c.hampel@mcintoshperry.com

 $\label{thm:condition} \begin{tabular}{l} $U:\Ottawa\01\ Project - Proposals\2022\ Jobs\CCO\CCO-22-0402\ Heafey\_Sub'd\_355\ Franktown\ Road,\ Carleton\ Place\03\ - Servicing\ Report\Subm2\CCO-22-0402\ - Servicing\ Report\ docx \end{tabular}$ 


# 10.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This report was produced for the exclusive use of the 11309455 Canada Inc group. The purpose of the report is to assess the existing stormwater management system and provide recommendations and designs for the post-construction scenario that are in compliance with the guidelines and standards from the Ministry of the Environment, Parks and Climate Change, Town of Carleton Place and local approval agencies. McIntosh Perry reviewed the site information and background documents listed in Section 2.0 of this report. While the previous data was reviewed by McIntosh Perry and site visits were performed, no field verification/measures of any information were conducted.


Any use of this review by a third party, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, without a reliance report is the responsibility of such third parties. McIntosh Perry accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions or actions made based on this review.

The findings, conclusions and/or recommendations of this report are only valid as of the date of this report. No assurance is made regarding any changes in conditions subsequent to this date. If additional information is discovered or becomes available at a future date, McIntosh Perry should be requested to re-evaluate the conclusions presented in this report, and provide amendments, if required.

APPENDIX A KEY PLAN



APPENDIX B BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS



FRANKTOWN DEVELOPMENT

355 Franktown Rd, Carleton Place, ON K7C 4M6

3070, CHEMIN DES QUATRE-BOURGEOIS QUÉBEC (QC) G1W 2K4 PMAARCHITECTES.COM

396 Cooper Street, Suite 300, Ottawa ON K2P 2H7 613.730.5709 www.fotenn.com

McINTOSH PERRY 115 WALGREEN ROAD, R.R. 3 CARP, OTTAWA, ON K0A 1L0

LANDSCAPE JAMES B. LENNOX & ASSOCIATES INC. LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

3332 CARLING AVE. OTTAWA, ONTARIO K2H 5A8
Tel. (613) 722-5168 Fax. 1(866) 343-3942

MECHANICAL

STRUCTURAL

ARCHITECT SEAL

 FOR COORDINATION
 2023-07-17

 FOR COORDINATION
 2023-07-17

 FOR COORDINATION
 2023-06-28

 DESCRIPTION
 DATE

IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPROPRIATE CONTRACTOR TO CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE SITE AND TO REPORT ALL ERRORS AND/OR OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. ALL CONTRACTORS MUST COMPLY WITH ALL PERTINENT CODES AND BY-LAWS. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

THIS DOCUMENT AND ITS CONTENT IS COPYRIGHTED. ANY REPRODUCTION IS PROHIBITED UNLESS GRANTED

> DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION

DESIGNED 2023-08-30 P.POMERLEAU P.POMERLEAU CHECKED PROJECT No SHEET TITLE SITE PLAN

A101

APPENDIX C WATERMAIN CALCULATIONS

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Water Demands - BLDG A

 Project:
 355 Franktown

 Project No.:
 CCO-22-0402

 Designed By:
 CH

 Checked By:
 BSC

 Date:
 September 1, 2023

 Site Area:
 0.50 gross ha

Residential NUMBER OF UNITS UNIT RATE Single Family homes 3.4 persons/unit Semi-detached 2.7 persons/unit homes Townhouse homes 2.7 persons/unit persons/unit **Bachelor Apartment** units 1.4 1 Bedroom Apartment 18 units 1.4 persons/unit persons/unit 2 Bedroom Apartment 30 units 2.1 3 Bedroom Apartment units 3.1 persons/unit Average Apartment units 1.8 persons/unit

Total Population 89 persons

 Commercial
 m2

 Industrial - Light
 m2

 Industrial - Heavy
 m2

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS	]
Residential	280	L/c/d	1
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d	
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d	
Shopping Centres	2,500	L/(1000m² /d	
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)	
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)	1
Trailer Park with no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)	
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)	1
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)	1
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)	
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)	1
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)	
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d	1
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d	1
	Residential	0.29	L/s
AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.00	L/s

### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	Д	MOUNT	UNITS
Residential	9.5	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.00	L/s

### MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	Д	MOUNT	UNITS
Residential	14.3	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.00	L/s

AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	0.29	L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	2.74	L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	4.12	L/s

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Water Demands - BLDG B

 Project:
 355 Franktown

 Project No.:
 CCO-22-0402

 Designed By:
 CH

 Checked By:
 BSC

 Date:
 September 1, 2023

 Site Area:
 0.50 gross ha

Residential NUMBER OF UNITS UNIT RATE Single Family homes persons/unit Semi-detached 2.7 persons/unit homes Townhouse homes 2.7 persons/unit persons/unit **Bachelor Apartment** units 1.4 1 Bedroom Apartment 18 units 1.4 persons/unit persons/unit 2 Bedroom Apartment 30 units 2.1 3 Bedroom Apartment units 3.1 persons/unit Average Apartment units 1.8 persons/unit

Total Population 89 persons

 Commercial
 m2

 Industrial - Light
 m2

 Industrial - Heavy
 m2

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS
Residential	280	L/c/d
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d
Shopping Centres	2,500	L/(1000m² /d
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)
Trailer Park with no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d
	Residential	0.29
AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/	
	Institutional	0.00

### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	Д	MOUNT	UNITS
Residential	9.5	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.00	L/s

### MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	Д	MOUNT	UNITS
Residential	14.3	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.00	L/s

WATER DEMAND DESIGN FLOWS PER UNIT COUNT
CITY OF OTTAWA - WATER DISTRIBUTION GUIDELINES, JULY 2010

 AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND
 0.29
 L/s

 MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND
 2.74
 L/s

 MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND
 4.12
 L/s

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Water Demands - Existing Mall

 Project:
 355 Franktown

 Project No.:
 CCO-22-0402

 Designed By:
 CH

 Checked By:
 BSC

 Date:
 September 1, 2023

 Site Area:
 0.73 gross ha

Residential NUMBER OF UNITS UNIT RATE Single Family homes persons/unit Semi-detached 2.7 persons/unit homes Townhouse homes 2.7 persons/unit persons/unit Bachelor Apartment units 1.4 1 Bedroom Apartment units 1.4 persons/unit persons/unit 2 Bedroom Apartment units 2.1 3 Bedroom Apartment units 3.1 persons/unit Average Apartment units 1.8 persons/unit

Total Population 0 persons

 Commercial
 7299 m2

 Industrial - Light
 m2

 Industrial - Heavy
 m2

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS
Residential	280	L/c/d
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d
Shopping Centres	2,500	L/(1000m² /d
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)
Trailer Park with no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d
	Residential	0.00
AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/	
	Institutional	0.24

### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT		UNITS
Residential	9.5	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential	0.00	L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.35	L/s

#### MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	А	MOUNT	UNITS
Residential	14.3	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential	0.00	L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.64	L/s

AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	0.24	L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	0.35	L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	0.64	L/s

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Water Demands - Heafey Townhouse

 Project:
 355 Franktown

 Project No.:
 CCO-22-0402

 Designed By:
 CH

 Checked By:
 BSC

 Date:
 September 1, 2023

 Site Area:
 0.35 gross ha

Residential NUMBER OF UNITS UNIT RATE Single Family homes 3.4 persons/unit Semi-detached 2.7 persons/unit homes Townhouse 6 homes 2.7 persons/unit persons/unit **Bachelor Apartment** units 1.4 1 Bedroom Apartment units 1.4 persons/unit persons/unit 2 Bedroom Apartment units 2.1 3 Bedroom Apartment units 3.1 persons/unit Average Apartment units 1.8 persons/unit

Total Population 17 persons

 Commercial
 m2

 Industrial - Light
 m2

 Industrial - Heavy
 m2

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS
Residential	280	L/c/d
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d
Shopping Centres	2,500	L/(1000m² /d
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)
Trailer Park with no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d
	Residential	0.06
AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/	
	Institutional	0.00

### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT		UNITS
Residential	9.5	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.00	L/s

### MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	Д	MOUNT	UNITS
Residential	14.3	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.00	L/s

AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	0.06	L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	0.52	L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	0.79	L/s

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Water Demands - Heafey Total

 Project:
 355 Franktown

 Project No.:
 CCO-22-0402

 Designed By:
 CH

 Checked By:
 BSC

 Date:
 September 1, 2023

 Site Area:
 2.07 gross ha

Residential NUMBER OF UNITS UNIT RATE Single Family homes persons/unit Semi-detached 2.7 persons/unit homes Townhouse 6 homes 2.7 persons/unit persons/unit Bachelor Apartment units 1.4 1 Bedroom Apartment 36 units 1.4 persons/unit persons/unit 2 Bedroom Apartment 60 units 2.1 3 Bedroom Apartment units 3.1 persons/unit Average Apartment units 1.8 persons/unit

Total Population 193 persons

 Commercial
 7299 m2

 Industrial - Light
 m2

 Industrial - Heavy
 m2

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS	
Residentia	280	L/c/d	
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d	
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d	
Shopping Centres	2,500	L/(1000m² /d	
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)	
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)	
Trailer Park with no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)	
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)	
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)	
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)	
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)	
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)	
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d	
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d	
	Residential	0.63	L/s
AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.24	L/s

### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT		UNITS
Residential	4.9	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.5	x avg. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.35	L/s

### MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT		UNITS
Residential	7.4	x avg. day	L/c/d
Industrial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Commercial	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	1.8	x max. day	L/gross ha/d
	Residential		L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	Commerical/Industrial/		
	Institutional	0.64	L/s

AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND	0.86	L/s
MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND	3.42	L/s
MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND	5.27	L/s

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Fire Underwriters Survey

 Project:
 355 Franktown

 Project No.:
 CCO-22-0402

 Designed By:
 CH

 Checked By:
 BSC

 Date:
 September 1, 2023

### From the Fire Underwriters Survey (2020)

From Part II – Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow Copyright I.S.O.: City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 Applied Where Applicable

### A. BASE REQUIREMENT (Rounded to the nearest 1000 L/min)

 $F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{A}$  Where: F = Reg

 ${\bf F}$  = Required fire flow in liters per minute

 ${f C}$  = Coefficient related to the type of construction.

A = The total floor area in square meters (including all storey's, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in

the building being considered.

### **Construction Type Ordinary Construction**

C 1 A 4,140.0 m<sup>2</sup>

Total Floor Area (per the 2020 FUS Page 20 - Total Effective Area) 4,140.0 m<sup>2</sup> \*Unprotected Vertical Openings

Calculated Fire Flow 14,155.4 L/min 14,000.0 L/min

#### **B. REDUCTION FOR OCCUPANCY TYPE (No Rounding)**

From Page 24 of the Fire Underwriters Survey:

Non-Combustible -25%

Fire Flow 10,500.0 L/min

### C. REDUCTION FOR SPRINKLER TYPE (No Rounding)

Standard Water Supply Sprinklered -40%

Reduction	-4,200.0 L/min			
D. INCREASE FOR EXPOSURE (No Rounding)				
Separation Distance (m)	Cons.of Exposed Wall	Length Exposed	Height Length-Height	

	Separation Distance (m)	Cons.of Exposed Wall	Adjacent Wall (m)	(Stories)	Factor		
Exposure 1	10.1 to 20	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	29	2	58.0	7%	
Exposure 2	3.1 to 10	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	19	4	76.0	13%	
Exposure 3	Over 30 m	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	15	2	30.0	0%	
Exposure 4	10.1 to 20	Fire Resistive - Non Combustible (Unprotected Openings)	34	1	34.0	4%	
	·		-		% Increase*	24%	

Increase\* 2,520.0 L/min

### E. Total Fire Flow (Rounded to the Nearest 1000 L/min)

Fire Flow	8,820.0 L/min
Fire Flow Required**	9,000.0 L/min

<sup>\*</sup>In accordance with Part II, Section 4, the Increase for separation distance is not to exceed 75%

<sup>\*\*</sup>In accordance with Section 4 the Fire flow is not to exceed 45,000 L/min or be less than 2,000 L/min

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Fire Underwriters Survey

Project: 355 Franktown CCO-22-0402 Project No.: Designed By: СН Checked By: BSC September 1, 2023 Date:

### From the Fire Underwriters Survey (2020)

From Part II – Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow Copyright I.S.O.:

City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 Applied Where Applicable

### A. BASE REQUIREMENT (Rounded to the nearest 1000 L/min)

 $F = 220 \times C \times VA$  Where: F = Required fire flow in liters per minute

 ${f C}$  = Coefficient related to the type of construction.

A = The total floor area in square meters (including all storey's, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in

the building being considered.

### **Construction Type Ordinary Construction**

С 4,140.0 m<sup>2</sup>

> Total Floor Area (per the 2020 FUS Page 20 - Total Effective Area) 4,140.0 m<sup>2</sup> \*Unprotected Vertical Openings

14,155.4 L/min **Calculated Fire Flow** 14,000.0 L/min

-25%

#### **B. REDUCTION FOR OCCUPANCY TYPE (No Rounding)**

From Page 24 of the Fire Underwriters Survey:

Non-Combustible

10,500.0 L/min Fire Flow

### C. REDUCTION FOR SPRINKLER TYPE (No Rounding)

-40% Standard Water Supply Sprinklered

Reduction -4,200.0 L/min

# D. INCREASE FOR EXPOSURE (No Rounding)

	Separation Distance (m)	Cons.of Exposed Wall	Length Exposed Adjacent Wall (m)	Height (Stories)	Length-Height Factor		
Exposure 1	Over 30 m	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	16	2	32.0	0%	
Exposure 2	Over 30 m	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	38	2	76.0	0%	
Exposure 3	Over 30 m	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	15	2	30.0	0%	
Exposure 4	3.1 to 10	Fire Resistive - Non Combustible (Unprotected Openings)	19	4	76.0	9%	
			•		0/ Increase*	00/	

### E. Total Fire Flow (Rounded to the Nearest 1000 L/min)

Fire Flow Required\*\*

<sup>\*</sup>In accordance with Part II, Section 4, the Increase for separation distance is not to exceed 75%

<sup>\*\*</sup>In accordance with Section 4 the Fire flow is not to exceed 45,000 L/min or be less than 2,000 L/min

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Fire Underwriters Survey

Project: 355 Franktown CCO-22-0402 Project No.: Designed By: СН Checked By: BSC September 1, 2023 Date:

### From the Fire Underwriters Survey (2020)

From Part II – Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow Copyright I.S.O.:

City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 Applied Where Applicable

### A. BASE REQUIREMENT (Rounded to the nearest 1000 L/min)

 $F = 220 \times C \times VA$  Where: F = Required fire flow in liters per minute

 ${f C}$  = Coefficient related to the type of construction.

A = The total floor area in square meters (including all storey's, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in

the building being considered.

### **Construction Type Ordinary Construction**

С 1,132.0 m<sup>2</sup>

> Total Floor Area (per the 2020 FUS Page 20 - Total Effective Area) 1,132.0 m<sup>2</sup> \*Unprotected Vertical Openings

7,401.9 L/min **Calculated Fire Flow** 7,000.0 L/min

#### **B. REDUCTION FOR OCCUPANCY TYPE (No Rounding)**

From Page 24 of the Fire Underwriters Survey:

-25% Non-Combustible

5,250.0 L/min Fire Flow

### C. REDUCTION FOR SPRINKLER TYPE (No Rounding)

-40% Standard Water Supply Sprinklered

Reduction -2,100.0 L/min

# D. INCREASE FOR EXPOSURE (No Rounding)

	Separation Distance (m)	Cons.of Exposed Wall	Length Exposed Adjacent Wall (m)	Height (Stories)	Length-Height Factor		
Exposure 1	3.1 to 10	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	15	2	30.0	11%	
Exposure 2	Over 30 m	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	20	2	40.0	0%	
Exposure 3	Over 30 m	Ordinary - Mass Timber (Unprotected)	20	2	40.0	0%	
Exposure 4	Over 30 m	Fire Resistive - Non Combustible (Unprotected Openings)	19	4	76.0	0%	
			•		0/ Increase*	110/	

### E. Total Fire Flow (Rounded to the Nearest 1000 L/min)

Fire Flow	3,727.5 L/min
Fire Flow Required**	4,000.0 L/min

<sup>\*</sup>In accordance with Part II, Section 4, the Increase for separation distance is not to exceed 75%

<sup>\*\*</sup>In accordance with Section 4 the Fire flow is not to exceed 45,000 L/min or be less than 2,000 L/min

# Coleman Phase 2 & 355 Franktown Water Model

# Average Day Demands

Junction Table - Time: 0.00 hours

ID	Label	Elevation (m)	Demand (L/s)	Hydraulic Grade (m)	Pressure (psi)
31	J-2	133.92	0.00	181.14	67
32	J-3	133.31	0.07	181.16	68
34	J-4	133.50	0.12	181.16	68
36	J-5	133.19	0.39	181.17	68
38	J-6	133.35	0.10	181.17	68
40	J-7	133.35	0.10	181.18	68
42	J-8	133.06	0.04	181.19	68
44	J-9	133.12	0.03	181.20	68
46	J-10	133.10	0.00	181.21	68
48	J-11	133.26	0.00	181.16	68
50	J-12	133.27	0.00	181.17	68
52	J-13	130.65	0.00	181.18	72
54	J-14	133.56	0.11	181.15	68
88	J-15	133.31	0.00	181.22	68
90	J-16	133.91	0.57	181.24	67
92	J-17	134.88	0.06	181.22	66
95	J-18	136.18	0.00	181.31	64
97	J-19	136.41	0.25	181.27	64
100	J-20	135.76	0.00	181.27	65

Reservoir Table - Time: 0.00 hours

ID	Label	Elevation (m)	Hydraulic Grade (m)	
57	R-1	181.14	181.14	
58	R-2	181.16	181.16	
59	R-3	181.18	181.18	
94	R-4	181.32	181.32	

# Coleman Phase 2 & 355 Franktown Water Model

# Peak Hour Demands

Junction Table - Time: 0.00 hours

ID	Label	Elevation (m)	Demand (L/s)	Hydraulic Grade (m)	Pressure (psi)
31	J-2	133.92	0.00	181.04	67
32	J-3	133.31	0.29	180.95	68
34	J-4	133.50	0.50	180.92	67
36	J-5	133.19	0.39	180.89	68
38	J-6	133.35	0.41	180.86	67
40	J-7	133.35	0.41	180.83	67
42	J-8	133.06	0.17	180.81	68
44	J-9	133.12	0.12	180.80	68
46	J-10	133.10	0.00	180.79	68
48	J-11	133.26	0.00	180.95	68
50	J-12	133.27	0.00	180.89	68
52	J-13	130.65	0.00	180.81	71
54	J-14	133.56	0.45	180.99	67
88	J-15	133.31	0.00	180.78	67
90	J-16	133.91	2.35	180.75	66
92	J-17	134.88	0.06	180.78	65
95	J-18	136.18	0.00	180.74	63
97	J-19	136.41	1.03	180.75	63
100	J-20	135.76	0.00	180.75	64

Reservoir Table - Time: 0.00 hours

ID	Label	Elevation (m)	Hydraulic Grade (m)	
57	R-1	181.06	181.06	
58	R-2	180.95	180.95	
59	R-3	180.81	180.81	
94	R-4	180.74	180.74	


# Coleman Phase 2 & 355 Franktown Water Model Max Day + Fire Flow, Reduced HGL (Min. 167L/sec)


Fire Flow Results Table - Time: 0.00 hours


Label	Fire Flow (Available) (L/s)	Pressure (Calculated Residual) (psi)	Junction w/ Minimum Pressure (Zone)	Pipe w/ Maximum Velocity	Velocity of Maximum Pipe (m/s)	Satisfies Fire Flow Constraints ?
J-2	300.00	39	J-19	P-12	6.60	True
J-3	300.00	42	J-19	P-13	6.26	True
J-4	300.00	23	J-12	P-2	6.46	True
J-5	266.92	20	J-12	P-2	4.79	True
J-6	257.27	20	J-12	P-6	4.12	True
J-7	292.50	20	J-6	P-6	5.82	True
J-8	300.00	28	J-9	P-14	4.61	True
J-9	300.00	21	J-10	P-7	6.43	True
J-10	273.71	20	J-17	P-7	5.40	True
J-11	300.00	46	J-19	P-13	8.36	True
J-12	190.29	20	J-5	P-10	5.82	True
J-13	300.00	49	J-19	P-14	8.71	True
J-14	300.00	35	J-19	P-1(2)	4.80	True
J-15	246.51	20	J-17	P-7	4.41	True
J-16	230.32	20	J-19	P-20	3.69	True
J-17	178.11	20	J-15	P-18	5.45	True
J-18	300.00	35	J-19	P-19	3.75	True
J-19	231.77	20	J-20	P-20	4.30	True
J-20	171.85	20	J-19	P-22	5.26	True

Reservoir Table - Time: 0.00 hours

ID	Label	Elevation (m)	Hydraulic Grade (m)
57	R-1	166.06	166.06
58	R-2	165.95	165.95
59	R-3	165.81	165.81
94	R-4	165.74	165.74







APPENDIX D SANITARY CALCULATIONS

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Sanitary Demands - Existing Mall - Area S1

Project:	355 Franktown			
Project No.:	CCO-22-0402	CCO-22-0402		
Designed By:	СН			
Checked By:	BSC			
Date:	Sep-23			
Site Area	0.73	Gross ha		
Total Population	0	Persons		
Commercial Area	7299.18	m <sup>2</sup>		
Amenity Space	0.00	m²		

#### **DESIGN PARAMETERS**

Institutional/Commercial Peaking Factor 1.5 \*Check technical bulleting (Either use 1.0 or 1.5)

Residential Peaking Factor 3.80 \* Using Harmon Formula =  $1+(14/(4+P^0.5))*0.8$ 

where P = population in thousands, Harmon's Correction Factor = 0.8

Mannings coefficient (n) 0.013

Demand (per capita) Infiltration allowance 0.33 L/s/Ha

#### **EXTRANEOUS FLOW ALLOWANCES**

Infiltration / Inflow	Flow (L/s)		
Dry	0.04		
Wet	0.20		
Total	0.24		

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS	POPULATION / AREA	Flow (L/s)
Residential	280	L/c/d	0	0.00
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Commercial / Amenity	2,800	L/(1000m² /d )	7299.18	0.24
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)		0
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)		0
Trailer Parks no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)		0
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)		0
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)		0
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)		0
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Office	75	L/7.0m <sup>2</sup> /d		0
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0

AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL FLOW	0.00	L/s
PEAK RESIDENTIAL FLOW	0.00	L/s
AVERAGE ICI FLOW	0.24	L/s
PEAK INSTITUTIONAL/COMMERCIAL FLOW	0.35	L/s
PEAK INDUSTRIAL FLOW	0.00	L/s
TOTAL PEAK ICI FLOW	0.35	L/s

### TOTAL SANITARY DEMAND

TOTAL ESTIMATED AVERAGE DRY WEATHER FLOW	0.27	L/s
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK DRY WEATHER FLOW	0.39	L/s
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK WET WEATHER FLOW	0.60	L/s

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Sanitary Demands - Heafey Lands - Areas S2 & S3

Project:	355 Franktown			
Project No.:	CCO-22-0402			
Designed By:	CH			
Checked By:	BSC			
Date:	Sep-23			
Site Area	1.34	Gross ha		
1 Bedroom	36		1.40	Persons per unit
2 Bedroom	60		2.10	Persons per unit
Townhouse	6		2.70	Persons per unit
Total Population	193	Persons		
Commercial Area	0.00	m <sup>2</sup>		_
Amenity Space	0.00	m²		_

#### **DESIGN PARAMETERS**

Institutional/Commercial Peaking Factor 1.5 \*Check technical bulleting (Either use 1.0 or 1.5)

Residential Peaking Factor 3.52 \* Using Harmon Formula =  $1+(14/(4+P^0.5))*0.8$ 

where P = population in thousands, Harmon's Correction Factor = 0.8

Mannings coefficient (n) 0.013

Demand (per capita) 280 L/day Infiltration allowance 0.33 L/s/Ha

#### **EXTRANEOUS FLOW ALLOWANCES**

Infiltration / Inflow	Flow (L/s)		
Dry	0.07		
Wet	0.38		
Total	0.44		

#### **AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND**

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS	POPULATION / AREA	Flow (L/s)
Residential	280	L/c/d	193	0.63
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Commercial / Amenity	2,800	L/(1000m² /d )	0.00	0.00
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)		0
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)		0
Trailer Parks no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)		0
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)		0
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)		0
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)		0
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Office	75	L/7.0m <sup>2</sup> /d		0
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0

AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL FLOW	0.63	L/s
PEAK RESIDENTIAL FLOW	2.20	L/s
AVERAGE ICI FLOW	0.00	L/s
PEAK INSTITUTIONAL/COMMERCIAL FLOW	0.00	L/s
PEAK INDUSTRIAL FLOW	0.00	L/s
TOTAL PEAK ICI FLOW	0.00	L/s

### TOTAL SANITARY DEMAND

TOTAL ESTIMATED AVERAGE DRY WEATHER FLOW	0.69	L/s
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK DRY WEATHER FLOW	2.27	L/s
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK WET WEATHER FLOW	2.65	L/s

### CCO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown - Sanitary Demands Total - Heafy Total

Project:	355 Franktown			
Project No.:	CCO-22-0402			_
Designed By:	C.H.			
Checked By:	BSC			
Date:	Sep-23			
Site Area	2.07	Gross ha		
1 Bedroom	36		1.40	Persons per unit
2 Bedroom	60		2.10	Persons per unit
Apartment	0		1.80	Persons per unit
Townhouse	6		2.70	Persons per unit
Total Population	193	Persons		
Commercial Area	7299.18	m <sup>2</sup>		_
Amenity Space	0.00	m <sup>2</sup>		_

#### **DESIGN PARAMETERS**

Institutional/Commercial Peaking Factor

Residential Peaking Factor

\*Check technical bulleting (Either use 1.0 or 1.5) 1.5

3.52 \* Using Harmon Formula = 1+(14/(4+P^0.5))\*0.8

where P = population in thousands, Harmon's Correction Factor = 0.8

Mannings coefficient (n) 0.013

Demand (per capita) 280 L/day Infiltration allowance 0.33 L/s/Ha

#### **EXTRANEOUS FLOW ALLOWANCES**

Infiltration / Inflow	Flow (L/s)		
Dry	0.10		
Wet	0.58		
Total	0.68		


#### AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND

DEMAND TYPE	AMOUNT	UNITS	POPULATION / AREA	Flow (L/s)
Residential	280	L/c/d	193	0.63
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Commercial / Amenity	2,800	L/(1000m² /d )	7299.18	0.24
Hospital	900	L/(bed/day)		0
Schools	70	L/(Student/d)		0
Trailer Parks no Hook-Ups	340	L/(space/d)		0
Trailer Park with Hook-Ups	800	L/(space/d)		0
Campgrounds	225	L/(campsite/d)		0
Mobile Home Parks	1,000	L/(Space/d)		0
Motels	150	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Hotels	225	L/(bed-space/d)		0
Office	75	L/7.0m <sup>2</sup> /d		0
Tourist Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0
Other Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d		0

AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL FLOW	0.63	L/s	
PEAK RESIDENTIAL FLOW	2.20	L/s	
AVERAGE ICI FLOW	0.24	L/s	
PEAK INSTITUTIONAL/COMMERCIAL FLOW	0.35	L/s	
PEAK INDUSTRIAL FLOW	0.00	L/s	
TOTAL PEAK ICI FLOW	0.35	L/s	

### TOTAL SANITARY DEMAND

TOTAL ESTIMATED AVERAGE DRY WEATHER FLOW	0.97	L/s
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK DRY WEATHER FLOW	2.66	L/s
TOTAL ESTIMATED PEAK WET WEATHER FLOW	3.24	L/s



## **SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET**

PROJECT: LOCATION:


355 Franktown Road

CLIENT:


Heafey Group

	LOCATION							RESIDENTIA	L.							ICI AREAS			ICI AREAS				FLOW	1		SEWER DATA				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
					UNIT	TYPES		AREA	POPU	ILATION		PEAK			AREA	(ha)			PEAK	ARE	A (ha)	FLOW	DESIGN	CAPACITY	LENGTH	DIA	SLOPE	VELOCITY	AVAII	LABLE
AREA ID	STREET	FROM	то	1-BED	2-BED	ТН	APT	(ha)	IND	сим	PEAK	FLOW	INSTITU	JTIONAL	COMN	/IERCIAL	INDU	JSTRIAL	FLOW	IND	сим	(L/s)	FLOW	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(full)	CAPA	CITY
		МН	МН	1-950	Z-BED	In	API	(IId)	IND	COIVI	FACTOR	(L/s)	IND	CUM	IND	CUM	IND	CUM	(L/s)	IND	COIVI	(L/S)	(L/s)	(L/S)	(111)	(111111)	(70)	(m/s)	L/s	(%)
	Chadha Lands	MH101A	MH102A	143	9		70	2.28	345.1	345	3.44	3.85			0.06	0.06			0.03	2.34	2.34	0.77	4.65	19.36	129.34	200	0.32	0.597	14.71	75.99
	Municipal Road	MH105A	MH102A	-		12		0.45	32.4	32	3.68	0.39	<del> </del>							0.45	0.45	0.15	0.54	19.36	87.61	200	0.32	0.597	18.82	97.23
	iviumcipai koau	WINIUSA	IVINIUZA			12		0.45	32.4	32	3.00	0.39	<u> </u>							0.45	0.45	0.15	0.54	19.30	87.01	200	0.32	0.557	10.02	97.23
	Municipal Road	MH102A	MH103A			12		0.55	32.4	410	3.41	4.53				0.06			0.03	0.55	3.34	1.10	5.66	19.36	90.35	200	0.32	0.597	13.69	70.73
<b>S1</b>	Mall Lands	A-MH1A	A-MH2A												0.73	0.73			0.35	0.73	4.07	1.34	1.70	34.22	28.18	200	1.00	1.055	32.52	95.04
<b>S1</b>	Mall Lands	A-MH2A	H-MH1A													0.73			0.35	0.00	4.07	1.34	1.70	48.39	28.18	200	2.00	1.492	46.69	96.49
S1+S2	Heafey Lands	H-MH1A	MH103A	36	60			0.99	176.4	176	3.53	2.02	<u> </u>			0.73			0.35	0.99	5.06	1.67	4.04	57.46	71.63	200	2.82	1.772	53.41	92.96
S1 + S2 + S3 + CHADA	Municipal Road	MH103A	MH104A							586	3.35	6.37				0.79			0.38	0.00	5.06	1.67	8.42	22.95	12.40	200	0.45	0.708	14.54	63.33
S1 + S2 + S3 + CHADA	Municipal Road	MH104A	MH207A							586	3.35	6.37	1			0.79			0.38	0.00	5.06	1.67	8.42	22.95	32.74	200	0.46	0.708	14.54	63.33
Design Parameters:				Notes:							Designed:		RP			No.					Revisio	on						Date		
· ·				1. Mannin	ngs coefficier	nt (n) =		0.013			*					1					ISSUED FOR	REVIEW						2022-07-15		
Residential		ICI Areas		2. Demand	d (per capita	):	280	L/day								2					ISSUED FOR	REVIEW						2023-03-02		
1-BED 1.4 p/p/u			Peak Factor	3. Infiltrati	tion allowand	e:	0.33	L/s/Ha			Checked:		RF			3				RE	VISED PER C	OMMENTS						2023.05.25		
2-BED 2.1 p/p/u	INST 28,0	00 L/Ha/day	1.5	4. Residen	ntial Peaking	Factor:										4					ISSUED FOR	REVIEW						2023.07.14		
TH 2.7 p/p/u	COM 28,0	00 L/Ha/day	1.5		Harmon Fo	rmula = 1+(:	14/(4+P^0.5	)*0.8)								5				RE	VISED PER C	OMMENTS						2023.09.01		
Apt 1.8 p/p/u	IND 35,0	00 L/Ha/day	MOE Chart		where P =	population i	n thousands				Project No	·:	CCO-22-040	)2																
Other 60 p/p/Ha																												Sheet No:		
											1																	1 of 1		

APPENDIX E PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN



APPENDIX F POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN



APPENDIX G STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

### COO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown Road

Tc (min)		nsity n/hr)	
(11111)	5-Year	100-Year	
10	104.2	178.6	PRE-DEVELOPMENT
10	104.2	178.6	POST-DEVELOPMENT

	<u> </u>
C-V	alues
Impervious	0.90
Gravel	0.60
Pervious	0.20

### Pre-Development Runoff Coefficient

Drainage Area	Area (ha)	Impervious Area (m²)	Gravel (m²)	Pervious Area (m²)	Average C (5-year)	Average C (100-year)
A1	1.33	0	0	13,310	0.20	0.25
A2	0.69	0	0	6,912	0.20	0.25
A3	4.47	0	0	44,743	0.20	0.25

### Pre-Development Runoff Calculations

Drainage	Area	ſ	С	Tc	Q (L/s)			
Area	(ha)	5-Year	100-Year	(min)	5-Year	100-Year		
A1	1.33	0.20	0.25	10	77.11	165.18		
A2	0.69	0.20	0.25	10	40.04	85.78		
A3	4.47	0.20	0.25	11	259.20	555.25		
Total	6.50				376.35	806.21		

### Post-Development Runoff Coefficient

Drainage Area	Area (ha)	Impervious Area (m²)	Gravel (m²)	Pervious Area (m²)	Average C (5-year)	Average C (100-year)	
B1	0.28	1,064	0	1,733	0.47	0.54	North
B2	0.74	4,707	0	2,651	0.65	0.73	South
В3	0.69	6,266	0	646	0.83	0.93	Mall
B4	0.32	1,632	0	1,523	0.56	0.64	Townhouse and Municipal Road
B5	4.47	0	0	44,743	0.20	0.25	Offsite Area

### Post-Development Runoff Calculations

Drainage	Area	С	С	Tc	Q	(L/s)	
Area	(ha)	5-Year	100-Year	(min)	5-Year	100-Year	
B1	0.28	0.47	0.54	10	37.77	74.32	Rear Swale / Building
B2	0.74	0.65	0.73	10	138.07	266.57	Front Building and Road
В3	0.69	0.83	0.93	10	167.10	319.07	Mall
B4	0.32	0.56	0.64	10	51.37	99.91	Townhouse and Municipal Road
B5	4.47	0.20	0.25	11	259.20	555.25	Offsite Area
Total	6.50				653.52	1315.12	

### Required Restricted Flow

Drainage	Area	С	С	Tc	Q (L/s)	Q (L/s)
Area	(ha)	5-Year	100-Year	(min)	5-Year	100-Year
A1	1.33	0.20	0.25	10	77.11	165.18
A2	0.69	0.20	0.25	10	40.04	85.78
Total	2.02			•	117.15	250.95

### Post-Development Restricted Runoff Calculations

Drainage	Unrestric	ted Flow	Restrict	ed Flow	Storage Re	quired (m³)	Storage Provided (m³)		
Area	5-year	100-Year	5-Year	100-Year	5-Year	100-Year	5-Year	100-Year	
B1	37.77	74.32							
B2	138.07	266.57	56.99	150.56	216.8	351.6	218.5	367.2	
В3	167.10	319.07							
B4	51.37	99.91	51.37	99.91	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Total	394.31	759.87	108.35	250.47	216.76	351.56	218.50	367.23	

### COO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown Road

Storage Requirements for Area B1, B2, B3

5-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B1, B2, B3	Allowable Outflow (L/s)	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)
10	104.2	342.97	56.99	285.98	171.59
15	83.6	275.17	56.99	218.18	196.36
20	70.3	231.39	56.99	174.40	209.29
25	60.9	200.45	56.99	143.46	215.20
30	53.9	177.41	56.99	120.42	216.76
35	48.5	159.64	56.99	102.65	215.57
40	44.2	145.48	56.99	88.50	212.39
45	40.6	133.63	56.99	76.65	206.95
50	37.7	124.09	56.99	67.10	201.31
	Maximum S	Storage Requi	red 5-year =	216.8	$m^3$

#### 100-Year Storm Event

Tc (min)	l (mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s) B1, B2, B3	Runoff to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)	
10	178.6	660.11	150.56	509.55	305.73
15	142.9	528.16	150.56	377.60	339.84
20	120.0	443.52	150.56	292.96	351.56
25	103.8	383.65	150.56	233.09	349.63
30	91.9	339.66	150.56	189.10	340.39
35	82.6	305.29	150.56	154.73	324.94
40	75.1	277.57	150.56	127.01	304.83
45	69.1	255.40	150.56	104.84	283.06
50	64.0	236.55	150.56	85.99	257.96
N	√aximum Sto	rage Require	d 100-year =	351.6	m <sup>3</sup>

### *5-Year Storm Event Storage Summary*

		Wate	er Elev. (m) =	133.2
Location	BOTTOM	Area (m²)	Depth (m)	Volume (m³)
POND	132.40	447.0	0.80	203.1

ICD Location	INV. (out)	Head (m)
H-MH2	131.26	0.70

Storage Available (m³) = 218.5 Storage Required (m³) = 216.8 \*Available Storage calculated from AutoCAD

### 100-Year Storm Event Storage Summary

		Water El	lev. (m) =	133.48
Location	BOTTOM	Area (m²)	Depth (m)	Volume (m³)
POND	132.40	596.0	1.08	359.3

ICD Location	INV. (out)	Head (m)
H-MH2	131.26	0.99

Storage Available (m³) = 367.2 Storage Required (m³) = 351.6

\*Available Storage calculated from AutoCAD

115 Walgreen Road, R.R.3. Carp, ON KOA 1L0 | T. 613-836-2184 | F. 613-836-3742 info@mcintoshperry.com | www.mcintoshperry.com

2 of 3

### COO-22-0402 - 355 Franktown Road

For Orifice Flow, C= 0.60 3 of 3

For Weir Flow, C= 1.84

	Orifice 1	Orifice 2	Weir 1	Weir 2
invert elevation	132.40	Х	133.40	Х
center of crest elevation	132.49	Х		Х
orifice width / weir length	180 mm	Х	2.00 m	Х
weir height				Х
orifice area (m²)	0.025	Х	Х	Х

Elevation Discharge Table - Storm Routing

			Elevatio	on Discharge 1	Γable - Storm	Routing				
Floretion	Orif	ice 1	Orif	ice 2	We	eir 1	We	eir 2	Total	
Elevation	H [m]	Q [m <sup>3</sup> /s]	H [m]	Q [m <sup>3</sup> /s]	H [m]	Q [m <sup>3</sup> /s]	H [m]	Q [m <sup>3</sup> /s]	Q [L/s]	
132.40	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.00	
132.41	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.00	
132.42	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.00	
132.43	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.00	
132.44	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.00	
133.08	0.59	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	51.95	1
133.09	0.60	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	52.39	
133.10	0.61	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	52.82	
133.11	0.62	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	53.25	
133.12	0.63	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	53.68	
133.13	0.64	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	54.10	
133.14	0.65	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	54.52	
133.15	0.66	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	54.94	
133.16	0.67	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	55.36	
133.17	0.68	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	55.77	
133.18	0.69	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	56.18	
133.19	0.70	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	56.58	
133.2	0.71	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	56.99	5-Year
133.21	0.72	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	57.39	
133.22	0.73	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	57.78	
133.23	0.74	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	58.18	
133.24	0.75	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	58.57	
133.25	0.76	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	58.96	
133.26	0.77	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	59.34	
133.27	0.78	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	59.73	
133.28	0.79	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	60.11	
133.29	0.80	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	60.49	
133.30	0.81	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	60.87	
133.31	0.82	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	61.24	
133.32	0.83	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	61.61	
133.33	0.84	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	61.98	
133.34	0.85	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	62.35	
133.35	0.86	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	62.72	
133.36	0.87	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	63.08	
133.37	0.88	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	63.44	4
133.38	0.89	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	63.80	4
133.39	0.90	0.06	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	64.16	4
133.40	0.91	0.06	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	64.51	4
133.41	0.92	0.06	Х	Х	0.01	0.00	Х	Х	68.55	4
133.42	0.93	0.07	Х	Х	0.02	0.01	Х	Х	75.63	4
133.43	0.94	0.07	Х	Х	0.03	0.02	Х	X	84.69	4
133.44	0.95	0.07	Х	Х	0.04	0.03	Х	Х	95.36	4
133.45	0.96	0.07	Х	Х	0.05	0.04	Х	X	107.41	4
133.46	0.97	0.07	Х	X	0.06	0.05	Х	Х	120.69	_
133.47	0.98	0.07	Х	Х	0.07	0.07	Х	Х	135.10	100 Voor
133.48	0.99	0.07	Х	Х	0.08	0.08	Х	Х	150.56	100-Year

133.49	1.00	0.07	Х	Х	0.09	0.10	Х	Х	166.99
133.50	1.01	0.07	Х	Х	0.10	0.12	Х	Х	184.34
133.51	1.02	0.07	Х	Х	0.11	0.13	Х	Х	202.56
133.52	1.03	0.07	Х	Х	0.12	0.15	Х	Х	221.61
133.53	1.04	0.07	Х	Х	0.13	0.17	Х	Х	241.46
133.54	1.05	0.07	Х	Х	0.14	0.19	Х	Х	262.07
133.55	1.06	0.07	Х	Х	0.15	0.21	Х	Х	283.42
133.56	1.07	0.07	Х	Х	0.16	0.24	Х	Х	305.48
133.57	1.08	0.07	Х	Х	0.17	0.26	Х	Х	328.22
133.58	1.09	0.07	Х	Х	0.18	0.28	Х	Х	351.64
133.59	1.10	0.07	Х	Х	0.19	0.30	Х	Х	375.70
133.60	1.11	0.07	Х	Х	0.20	0.33	Х	Х	400.40

Notes: 1. For Orifice Flow, User is to Input an Elevation Higher than Crown of Orifice.

- 2. Orifice Equation:  $Q = cA(2gh)^{1/2}$
- 3. Weir Equation: Q = CLH<sup>3/2</sup>
- 4. These Computations Do Not Account for Submergence Effects Within the Pond Riser.
- 5. H for orifice equations is depth of water above the centroide of the orifice.
- 6. H for weir equations is depth of water above the weir crest.

## STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

PROJECT: CCO-22-0402
LOCATION: 355 Franktown
CLIENT: Heafy Group

	LOCATION				CONTRIBUTING AREA (ha)							RATIO	ONAL DESIGN	FLOW									SEWER D	ATA			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Phase	AREA ID	FROM MH	TO MH	C-VALUE	AREA	INDIV AC	CUMUL	INLET (min)	TIME IN PIPE	TOTAL (min)	i (5) (mm/hr)	i (10) (mm/hr)	i (100) (mm/hr)	5yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)		100yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)		DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	CAPACITY (L/s)	LENGTH (m)	DIA	PIPE SIZE (mr	n) I H	SLOPE (%)	VELOCITY (m/s)	AVAII (L/s)	. CAP (5yr) (%)
								(,		(,	(,	(,	(,	12211 (2, 5)			( ,		(=: =)	()				(/	(2)	(=, =)	(1-)
		Temp. DICB	MH111	0.25	1.94	0.49	0.49	10.00	0.20	10.20	104.19	122.14	178.56	140.48		240.75		240.75	258.68	26.92	375			2.00	2.269	17.92	7%
	B5	MH111	MH106	0.25	4.47	1.12	1.12	10.00	1.02	11.02	104.19	122.14	178.56	323.69		554.72		554.72	654.22	60.93	900			0.12	0.996	99.50	15%
	B1	H-LCB1	H-LCB2	0.47	0.28	0.13	0.13	10.00	0.74	10.74	104.19	122.14	178.56	37.77				37.77	62.04	54.72	250			1.00	1,224	24.27	39%
	5.	H-LCB2	H-LCB3	0.57	0.11	0.06	0.19	10.74	0.74	11.49	100.42	117.69	172.02	53.99				53.99	62.04	54.72	250			1.00	1.224	8.05	13%
		H-LCB3	A-CB1		·	0.00	0.19	11.49	0.13	11.62	96.93	113.59	166.00	52.12				52.12	62.04	9.29	250			1.00	1.224	9.92	16%
	B2	A-CB1	A-CB2	0.58	0.06	0.03	0.23	11.62	0.08	11.70	96.37	112.92	165.02	61.17				61.17	100.88	7.00	300			1.00	1.383	39.72	39%
	B2	A-CB2	A-MH1	0.76	0.05	0.04	0.27	11.70	0.03	11.73	95.99	112.49	164.38	71.39				71.39	100.88	2.24	300			1.00	1.383	29.49	29%
	B2	A-MH1	A-MH2			0.00	0.27	11.73	0.53	12.25	95.87	112.35	164.17	71.30				71.30	182.91	50.66	375			1.00	1.604	111.61	61%
					0.40	0.50	0.50	10.00	0.07	40.07	10110	100.11	470.57	4/740				1/7.10	400.04		075			1.00	4.01	45.04	00/
	B3	A-MH3	A-MH2	0.83	0.69	0.58	0.58	10.00	0.07	10.07	104.19	122.14	178.56	167.10				167.10	182.91	6.98	375			1.00	1.604	15.81	9%
	B2	A-MH2	H-MH1	0.65	0.34	0.22	1.06	10.07	0.81	10.88	103.81	121.69	177.90	307.08				307.08	452.94	75.63	600			0.50	1.552	145.86	32%
	B2	H-MH1	H-MH2	0.03	0.34	0.00	1.06	10.88	0.56	11.44	99.74	116.90	177.90	295.04				295.04	452.94	51.69	600			0.50	1.552	157.90	35%
	B2	H-MH2	SWM Outlet			0.00	1.06	11.44	0.21	11.65	97.16	113.86	166.39	287.40				287.40	452.94	19.42	600			0.50	1.552	165.55	37%
	52		OTTITI GULLOL			0.00	1.00		U.L.I	11.00	,,,,,	110.00	100.07	207110			1	207110	102.71	.,,,,	555		1	0.00	11002	100.00	0770
		SWM Inlet	DICB5			0.00	1.06	11.44	0.07	11.51	97.16	113.86	166.39	287.40			56.58	56.58	87.74	7.05	250			2.00	1.731	31.16	36%
		DICB5	MH107			0.00	1.06	11.44	0.19	11.63	97.16	113.86	166.39	287.40			56.58	56.58	87.74	19.64	250			2.00	1.731	31.16	36%
	B4	MH106	MH107	0.56	0.32	0.18	0.18	11.65	0.31	11.96	96.22	112.76	164.77	47.44			554.72	602.16	809.89	19.71	975			0.12	1.051	207.73	26%
		MH107	MH108				0.18	11.96	0.45	12.41	94.86	111.15	162.42	46.77			611.30	658.07	809.89	28.56	975			0.12	1.051	151.82	19%
		MH108	MH109				0.18	12.41	0.43	12.41	92.96	108.92	159.14	45.83			611.30	657.13	809.89	29.94	975		1	0.12	1.051	151.02	19%
		MH109	MH110				0.18	12.89	0.56	13.45	91.06	106.68	155.85	44.89			611.30	656.19	784.83	39.10	525	3x525		0.12	1.171	128.64	16%
		MH110	HEADWALL				0.18	13.45	0.32	13.77	88.94	104.18	152.19	43.85			611.30	655.15	784.83	22.62	525	3x525		0.34	1.171	129.68	17%
Definitions:	<del></del>			Notes:				Designed:					No.					Revision							Date		
Q = 2.78CiA, where:				1. Mannings coefficient (n)	=		0.013						1.					SUED FOR REV							2022-07-		
Q = Peak Flow in Litres per Second	(L/s)																REVISE	D AS PER CON	MENTS						2023-08-	25	
A = Area in Hectares (ha)								Checked:																			
i = Rainfall intensity in millimeters [i = 998.071 / (TC+6.053)^0.814]		5 YEAR											-	1													
[i = 1174.184 / (TC+6.014)^0.816		10 YEAR						Deciset No.															1				
[i = 1735.688 / (TC+6.014)^0.820		10 YEAR 100 YEAR						Project No.:									_ n	ate:							Sheet N	0.	
[i = 1/33.000 / (1C+0.014)**0.820	וי	IUU TEAR																ate: 2-07-15							1 of 1		
				1				1									2022	-07-10							1 01 1		